

[, 2003; , 2006; 2007; , 2008; Łanczont, Boguckij, 2002; Madeyska, 2002; Łanczont, Madeyska, 2005; Lenoble, Bertran, Lacrampe, 2009]




Fig. 1. Industry of Velykyi Hlybochok type. Velykyi Hlybochok I. Cultural layer III.

2001; 1969; 1980; V, IV, [, 1999; 1965; 1982, 1987 , 1987]. 70-

40 , 12 [Sytnik et al., 1998; Bogutski et al., 1999].




Fig. 2. Industry of Buhliv type. Buhliv V. Cultural layer I.

(V , .); (, VI, , V,).

12
15-

.....

V,

V

.....

[....., 2011; Boguckij et al., 2009; Sytnyk et al., 2010].

.....

” (“Paleolityczna ekyumena strefy pery- i metakarpatskiej: studium zmian środowiska zachodniej Ukrainy i południowo-wschodniej Polski w plejstocenie i ich wpływu na pierwotne osadnictwo oraz szlaki migracji”) [....., 2011; C, 2011; Sytnyk et al., 2010].

.....

..... (13). V, 4 ().

..... 7 -

202,4; 204 215,2

[Boguckij et al., 2009a; Sytnyk et al., 2009].

.....

6 -

..... 2

100

V

..... 5c-5b.

..... (), (), (),

(-), V ()
 (-) 81 . . . ; - 85±7 83±12 . . .
 [Boguckyj et al., 2001].







Fig. 3. Industry of Proniatyn type. Proniatyn. Cultural layer.

V
 - - 5 - 4.
 (1-5) V (11, 12) [..
 2007].
 VI
 11 12 V [, 2011]. 3.




4.
 Fig. 4. Industry of Yezupil I type. Cultural layer III.

“ ” ()
, — ,
, ?
(), ()



6.
Fig. 6. Industry of Kolodiiv type. Yezupil I. Cultural layer II.

(, ,)
 (, ,).
 - (V, V) -
 , , “ ”,
 , “ ” (-).
 - (V) - - ,
 , -
 - () - (?),
 , - ()
).
 - (, 1-4, V, 11-12,
) - (-),
 , - ,



7.
 Fig. 7. Industry of Pylypche type. Pylypche XI. Artifacts from surface.

I, 1-4; I, ; (), V, 11, 12; I

1. () :
2. , ,
3. - (,)
4. (, V, ,).
5. (, , ,).
6. (- , , ,) .

“ ”

“ - ”

[, 2005; 2011].

), ; - (-)

() , “ ” () ,

() .

“ ” (,) .

(“ ” , “ ” , “ ”) . ()

(,) .

“ ”

- 1982 I // I.
- 1987 // (
- 1987 V. //
- 1987 V. //
- 1987 7–93.
- Boguckij A., Cyrek K., Konecka-Betlej K., Lanczont M., Madeyska T., Nawrocki J., Sytnyk O.*
- 2001 Palaeolithic loess-site Yezupil on Dnister (Ukraine) – stratigraphy, environment and cultures // *Studia Quaternaria. An interdisciplinary journal on the Quaternary.* – Warszawa. – Vol. 18. – P. 25–47.
- Boguckij A., Lanczont M., Fedorowicz S., Kusiak J. Sytnyk O.*
- 2009b TL-age of loess deposits in the Yezupil I Palaeolithic site on the upper Dnister River (Ukraine) // *Geologia*, ISSN 1392–110X. – Vol. 51. – 3–4 (67–68). – P. 88–98.
- Boguckij A., Lanczont M., Łcka B., Madeyska T., Sytnyk O.*
- 2009a Age and the palaeoenvironment of the West Ukrainian Palaeolithic: the case of Velykyi Glybochok multi-cultural site. – *Journal Archaeological Sciences.* – 36: 1376–1389.
- Bogutski A., Sytnik A., Yamada M.*
- 1999 Nouveaux sites du Paléolithique inférieur en Ukraine occidentale // *Bulletin de la Société Préhistorique Française.* – Tome 96. – N. 1. – P. 71–76.
- Kozłowski J.K.*
- 1999 Człowiek neandertalski i odrębno c rozwoju kulturowego w rodkowym paleolicie Europy // *Encyklopedia historyczna wiata.* – Kraków. – T. I. – 487 .
- Kozłowski J.K., Kozłowski S.K.*
- 1996 Le Paléolithique en Pologne // *Série “Préhistoire d’Europe”.* – 2.– 240 p.
- Łanczont M., Boguckij A.*
- 2005 Badane profile lessowe i stanowiska paleolityczne Naddniestrza halickiego. *Acta Geologica Polonica* 119: 33–181.
- Łanczont M., Madeyska T.*
- 2002 Environment of the East Carpathian Foreland during periods of Palaeolithic man’s activity. *Catena* 59: 319–340.
- Lenoble A., Bertran P., Lacrampe F.*
- 2009 Solifuction-induced modifications of archaeological levels: simulation based on experimental data from a modern periglacial slope and application to French Palaeolithic sites. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 35: 99–110.
- Madeyska T.*
- 2002 Evidence of climatic variations in loess and cave Palaeolithic sites of southern Poland and western Ukraine. *Quaternary International* 91: 65–73.
- Paunesku A.*
- 1993 Ripi eni-Izvor paleolithic mezolitic. – Bucure ci. – 345 s.
- Sytnik A., Bogucki A., Wołoszyn P., Madeyska T.*
- 1998 Wst pne wyniki bada rodkowopaleolitycznych stanowisk lessowych Podola // *Studia Geologica Polonica.* – Kraków. – Vol. 113.– P. 121–130.
- Sytnyk O.*
- 2004 Podolian Levallois technocomplex of Western Ukraine // *The Use of Living Spase in Prehistory. Papers from a session held at the European Association of Archaeologists Sixth Annual Meeting in Lisbon 2000 (Etited dy Olena V.Smyntyna).* – BAR International Series 1224. – P. 1–12.
- Sytnyk O., Bogucki A., Lanczont M., Madeyska T.*
- 2010 The Dniesterian Mousterian from the Velykyi Glybochok site related to palaeoenvironmental changes // *Quaternary International.* – 220. – P. 31–46.

MIDDLE PALEOLITHIC OF PODILLIA: RESULTS, PERSPECTIVES,
MISCALCULATIONS

The article focuses on the most important issues of problematic of Middle Paleolithic of Podillia region, relating to the actuality of research, novelty of obtained results and fundamental conceptual scientific conclusions. Presence of two different cultural-historical and technological and typological strategies of development of Middle Paleolithic industries in Podillia (as, after all, in the whole Eastern European Lowland) is emphasized. This is broadly Levalloise technology and Eastern Micoquien one which both have stylistic originality throughout the period of their existence.

Despite the seemingly rich morphological diversity of studied flake and plate-based industries in the broad concept of Levalloise tradition only North-Podolian and Upper-Dniester local variants of Molodovo tradition can be distinguished. They certainly have their own material and spiritual specific.

Micoquien sites are less studied. Typically Micoquien forms occur sporadically and do not yet have a clear cultural content. Only in the final stages of Middle Paleolithic clear bilateral complexes of "proto-Seletoid type" appear. They associated with a separate Stinki archaeological tradition in the Middle Dniester. Thus, Podillia region emerges today as one of the basic Paleolithic centers of development of ancient culture in the border between Eastern and Central Europe.

These conclusions are intermediate in terms of future research. Undoubtedly, new sites and new methodological approaches to the analysis of sources will correct proposed work, complement and clarify certain suggestions, but the basic conclusion of conclusions about middle Paleolithic of Podillia, probably, will remain intact.